UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,167	01/24/2005	Shinya Kawachi	SHM-15820	2966
	7590 10/16/200 L & CLARK LLP	EXAMINER		
38210 Glenn A	venue	BEST, ZACHARY P		
WILLOUGHBY, OH 44094-7808			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/517,167	KAWACHI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Zachary Best	1795	

	Zachary Best	1795	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addr	ess
THE REPLY FILED <u>08 October 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, wl with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	n. ED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the properties. 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS	and prior to the date of filing a brief	will make a setamad bas	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) They are not deemed to place the application in between the content of the content	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	ΓE below);	
appeal; and/or			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	. ,,	mpliant Amandment (F	OTOL 224\
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpliant Amendment (F	10L-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		imely filed amendmen	t canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea vand was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but .	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	e because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Dah-Wei D. Yuan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added claim limitation in Claims 1, 3, and 4 of "wherein said peripheral part has a first face and a second face opposite said first face, said first face having projecting passage seal parts formed thereon, the projecting seal parts formed along respective edges of the gas passages and the reaction product passages so as to individually surround the gas passages and the reaction product passages, and said second face having passage recesses formed thereon along respective edges of the gas passages and the reaction product passages so as to individually surround the gas passages and the reaction product passages, wherein the projecting passage seal parts are formed so that when the fuel cell is assembled, each projecting seal part presses against a corresponding passage recess on an adjacent fuel cell separator through gas passages and reaction product passage of an electrolyte membrane" has not been previously considered and requires further consideration and/or search.